Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a psychiatric disorder that is associated with a variety of cognitive sequelae, including disorders of memory. We hypothesised that alterations in functional connectivity in PTSD, mediated by neural synchronisation, may be associated with such deficits. Using magnetoencephalography (MEG), we identified elevated alpha-band (8-14 Hz) phase synchronisation in soldiers with PTSD during working memory and delayed recognition tasks that included war-related and neutral pictures. Performance for the PTSD group matched controls on the working memory task, and this was accompanied by increases in long-range connectivity in the alpha-band during encoding, left-dominant, at 50-150 ms and during recognition at 150-250 ms involving temporal and frontal brain regions. In the delayed recognition task, performance was reduced in the PTSD group compared to controls for neutral, but not war-related images. Elevated alpha-band phase synchronisation was observed in the PTSD group in a right-dominant network of front-temporal and deep grey matter regions for both types of stimuli between 50-150 ms. The literature posits alpha phase dynamics are involved in working memory; our results suggest that atypical alpha synchronisation is related to memory-related sequelae in PTSD, one of the more ubiquitous cognitive comorbidities observed in this disorder.
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Introduction
Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a psychiatric condition which develops after experiencing a disturbing life event. PTSD is defined as comprising four symptom clusters that encompass re-experiencing, avoidance behaviours, emotional numbing and hyper-vigilance, and negative cognitions and moods (DSM-V) [1]. Approximately 50% of the general population experience at least one traumatic event during their lifetime, with the incidence of the disorder at around 5-10% [2]. However, in military populations the prevalence of PTSD is found to be higher, especially in those returning from combat deployment [3].

In PTSD, studies report deficits in a number of cognitive domains [4-8], including short-term working memory (WM) [9, 10] and delayed recall...
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Methods and Materials

Participants

MEG data were recorded from 48 Canadian Armed Forces soldiers, who were deployed in frontline roles in support of the Afghan mission. Twenty-four soldiers diagnosed with PTSD (all male, mean age = 37.67 ± 1.39 years) and 24 combat-exposed soldiers without PTSD (all male, mean age = 33.97 ± 0.98 years) were recruited. All participants underwent cognitive-behavioural testing and completed a number of tasks in the scanner during the study; all had normal or corrected-to-normal visual acuity. All participants gave informed written consent. Participants were initially approached by a military clinician to ask if they wished to participate in the study. If they were interested, their names were then passed to a research assistant, who established contact to confirm willingness to participate in the study. All procedures were approved by the Hospital for Sick Children and Canadian Armed Forces Research Ethics Boards.

Inclusion criteria for the PTSD group were: a clinical diagnosis of PTSD at an operational trauma stress support centre (OTSSC) as determined by a psychiatrist or psychologist specializing in trauma-related psychiatric disorders; PTSD symptoms present between 1 and 4 years prior to taking part in the study; regular mental health follow-ups; and current PTSD check list (PCL) scores of > 50, indicating the presence of moderate to severe PTSD.

The diagnosis was determined through a comprehensive, semi-structured interview with a clinician based upon DSM-IV-TR diagnostic criteria [36], along with Canadian Armed Forces (CAF) standardized psychometric testing. All participants in the PTSD group were recruited from one of the CAF OTSSCs. There were usually more than one DSM-IV-TR ‘A1’ stressor-related criteria (direct personal experience of an event that involves actual or threatened death or injury) [36], identified as a traumatic event contributing to the development of PTSD, with diagnosis related to operational exposure. Control soldiers were combat-exposed, frontline troops in similar military roles, and selected from cohorts of comparable rank, education level, and military experience. Additional inclusion criteria were applied to both groups: no history of a traumatic brain injury (TBI), as screened by a psychiatrist through a review of their electronic health record, telephone interview, and administration of the Defense and Veteran's Brain Injury Centre (DVBI) screening tool.

Exclusion criteria included ferrous metal inside the body or implanted medical devices that would be MRI contraindications or interfere with MEG data acquisition; seizures or other neurological disorders; certain ongoing medications (anticonvulsants, and/or benzodiazepines, or other GABA antagonists) known to directly or significantly influence EEG findings. This was a naturalistic study and PTSD participants were undergoing treatment including evidenced-based psychotropic medication(s), such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), and Prazosin. Whilst there is potential for these drugs to be a confounding factor given their psychoactive nature, it was deemed unethical [10-12]. Memory processing difficulties are significant as autobiographical and episodic memories are thought to be the principal component of the re-experiencing symptoms for those with PTSD. Critical for recall and re-experiencing of memories are the medial temporal lobes, and the hippocampal structures in particular [13]. These temporal lobe structures are crucial for the perceptual richness of memories; i.e., the vivid evocation of both the mental and perceptual components associated with an event in one’s life [14-17].

It has been suggested that the ability to recollect memories with general affective, and especially negative, content is comparatively increased in PTSD [18]; conversely, information regarding precise occurrences and context is compromised [18]. Generally, this implies that specific memory-related cognitive resources are prioritised to process threat-related and/or affective information, and neutral information is relegated to low-priority processing, incurring better performance for the former and reduced performance for the latter [19].

The emotional aspect of episodic memory would predict that emotion processing regions are implicated in memory-related dysfunction in PTSD [20]. fMRI studies of WM have revealed increased activity in those with PTSD in emotional processing networks including the amygdala, ventrolateral and medial prefrontal cortex, and concomitant decreases in dorsal executive function networks [21-23]. The interactions of these regions during memory tasks have been less investigated.

Inter-regional synchrony has been informative in understanding the cortical pathophysiology of PTSD [31, 32] with alterations to slow-wave amplitudes noted in left temporal, right frontal, and right parietal cortex [33, 34]. Recently, we have shown that high-frequency synchronisation during rest distinguishes soldiers with PTSD from control soldiers [35]. These studies suggest abnormal synchrony among brain regions might underlie some of the cognitive sequelae of the disorder. However, knowledge remains scant regarding task-dependent changes in connectivity in PTSD populations. We investigated the role of oscillatory synchronisation in working memory and delayed recognition tasks in soldiers with PTSD using MEG. We examined task-dependent, frequency-specific interactions in neuronal networks using network statistics and graph theoretical analysis. We hypothesised that our PTSD group would show decreased memory function, and that this would be accompanied by reduced functional connectivity mediated by oscillatory synchronisation, a mechanism thought to support normative memory function.

Methods and Materials

Participants

MEG data were recorded from 48 Canadian Armed Forces soldiers, who were deployed in frontline roles in support of the Afghan mission. Twenty-four soldiers diagnosed with PTSD (all male, mean age = 37.67 ± 1.39 years) and 24 combat-exposed soldiers without PTSD (all male, mean age = 33.97 ± 0.98 years) were recruited. All participants underwent cognitive-behavioural testing and completed a number of tasks in the scanner during the study; all had normal or corrected-to-normal visual acuity. All participants gave informed written consent. Participants were initially approached by a military clinician to ask if they wished to participate in the study. If they were interested, their names were then passed to a research assistant, who established contact to confirm willingness to participate in the study. All procedures were approved by the Hospital for Sick Children and Canadian Armed Forces Research Ethics Boards.
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The emotional aspect of episodic memory would predict that emotion processing regions are implicated in memory-related dysfunction in PTSD [20]. fMRI studies of WM have revealed increased activity in those with PTSD in emotional processing networks including the amygdala, ventrolateral and medial prefrontal cortex, and concomitant decreases in dorsal executive function networks [21-23]. The interactions of these regions during memory tasks have been less investigated.

Inter-regional synchrony has been informative in understanding the cortical pathophysiology of PTSD [31, 32] with alterations to slow-wave amplitudes noted in left temporal, right frontal, and right parietal cortex [33, 34]. Recently, we have shown that high-frequency synchronisation during rest distinguishes soldiers with PTSD from control soldiers [35]. These studies suggest abnormal synchrony among brain regions might underlie some of the cognitive sequelae of the disorder. However, knowledge remains scant regarding task-dependent changes in connectivity in PTSD populations. We investigated the role of oscillatory synchronisation in working memory and delayed recognition tasks in soldiers with PTSD using MEG. We examined task-dependent, frequency-specific interactions in neuronal networks using network statistics and graph theoretical analysis. We hypothesised that our PTSD group would show decreased memory function, and that this would be accompanied by reduced functional connectivity mediated by oscillatory synchronisation, a mechanism thought to support normative memory function.
to request withdrawal from treatment due to their long half-life and the associated risk of suicide from halting intervention for extended periods.

**Cognitive-behavioural evaluation**

All soldiers completed short cognitive-behavioural assessments at the time of the MEG studies, including the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI), the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item Scale (GAD7), the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ9) for depression, the Conners ADHD scale, 3rd ed. to identify attention disorders, and for those with PTSD, the Post Traumatic Stress Disorder Check List (PCL). Means, standard deviations and inferential statistics for the groups are in Table 1.

<table>
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<tr>
<th>Table 1: Cognitive-behavioural and clinical assessment measures for PTSD and control soldiers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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<td>$n$</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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**Procedure**

**Task 1: working memory**

Participants completed an N-back memory task (Figure 1, top) adapted for MEG. They viewed a series of stimuli consisting of 110 war-related (combat-related, emotionally-salient) scenes and 95 neutral scenes matched on the initial scene similarity. The '1-back' task, each war-related image was shown only once during the run and not repeated; randomised immediate repeats of a subset of the neutral images were shown after the initial presentation. Participants were instructed to hold each image in memory and respond as fast as possible to the repeated presentation of stimuli using an MEG-compatible button-box.

245 trials were presented in total (110 combat-related war-related images, 95 neutral 'new' images, and 40 neutral 'repeated' images) using Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems, Inc., Berkeley CA) via a back projection screen placed 78 cm from the participants’ eyes. Stimuli subtended a visual angle of 21° horizontally by 13° vertically and lasted for 200 ms. In between each presentation a centrally-presented fixation cross was shown, with a jittered presentation time of 1050-1300 ms (inter-stimulus interval).

**Task 2: delayed recognition**

In the delayed recognition task about 40 minutes later, 80 images from the previous experiment were shown, 40 neutral and 40 war-related images, interspersed with 40 foils (20 war-related and 20 neutral; Figure 1, middle). Participants had to recognise whether the image had been presented in the earlier experiment, and respond with either 'new' (not seen previously) or 'old' (previously seen). As before, stimuli subtended a visual angle of 21° horizontally by 13° vertically and were presented until a response was recorded.

**Imaging data acquisition**

MEG data were collected inside a magnetically-shielded room on a CTF Omega 151 channel system (CTF Systems, Inc., Coquitlam, Canada) at the Hospital for Sick Children. Data were recorded at 600 Hz sampling rate with third-order spatial gradient environmental noise-cancellation. Throughout the run, head position was continuously recorded by three fiducial coils placed on the nasion, and left and right pre-auricular points.

After the MEG session, anatomical 3T MRI images were acquired (Magnetom Tim Trio, Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany), T1-weighted magnetic resonance images using
high-resolution 3D MPRAGE sequences on a 12 channel head coil. MEG data were co-registered to each individual's MRI structural image using the reference fiducial coil placements.

**MEG Data Processing**

**Seed definition and virtual electrode analyses**

MEG data were band-pass filtered offline at 1-150 Hz, a notch filter applied at 60 Hz (8 Hz bandwidth). Sensor-level time series data were visually inspected and trials with significant artefacts related to head-motion were removed from subsequent analysis; this was supplemented by head-movement recordings to confirm visual assessments.

The Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) atlas [37] was used to identify 90 sources (seeds) in cortical and subcortical regions. These coordinates defined locations for time-series to be extracted and analyzed (see Figure S1 and Table S1 of Supplementary materials). Broadband time-series ('virtual electrodes') from these voxels were reconstructed using a vector beamformer on the basis of the 90 AAL coordinates for each subject and filtered into five classical EEG bandwidths for further analyses: theta (4-7 Hz), alpha (8-14 Hz), beta (15-30 Hz), 'low' gamma (30-80 Hz), and 'high' gamma (80-150 Hz).

Beamformers are a type of spatial filter used to optimize sensitivity to activity in a given brain location of interest (such as one of the 90 seed regions), whilst attenuating signals from other locations. Individual weight vectors are applied to each sensor measurement and summed to give an estimated source activity to a particular cortical seed location [38]. Additionally, MEG beamformers are effective at suppressing ocular artefacts generated by eye movements (and in particular, blinks), as well as other non-ocular physiological artefacts, such as cardiac and muscle activity [39].

**Assessing functional connectivity: Phase lag index**

Each of the 5 band-pass filtered data sets was then submitted to a functional connectivity analysis, using the phase lag index (PLI) [40]. The instantaneous phase of each sample from the filtered time-series was calculated using the Hilbert transform. The cross-trial degree of phase synchronisation for every time point between all pair-wise combinations of the seeds was computed using the PLI, which is based on the magnitude of the imaginary component of the cross-spectrum [40]. Ranging between 0 and 1, these values quantify the phase synchronisation between two sources, referred to as functional connectivity.

**Statistical analyses**

Adjacency matrices with PLI values acting as edge weights for all sources were constructed at every time point/sample, which resulted in a 90x90 [x5 frequencies x720 samples/time points] matrix of weighted undirected graphs for each participant. For the generation of statistically-thresholded functional connectivity images, temporally-averaged adjacency matrices over time windows of interest were generated, and statistical analyses were performed on the resulting matrices using the Network Based Statistic (NBS) [41]. NBS first applies an initial univariate threshold to each analyzed edge. The extent of connectivity components, defined as contiguous groups of nodes connected by suprathreshold connections, is then obtained. Group membership is then shuffled and the extent of the largest component which occurs in this surrogated data is recorded, and this process is repeated 5000 times to generate a null distribution. The ranking of connectivity components from the unshuffled data in the surrogate distribution is used to determine statistical confidence; as the surrogate distribution considers the largest connectivity component that could occur, assuming the null hypothesis across the entire analyzed network, this approach is very effective in controlling for false positives due to multiple comparisons at any threshold.

In the present analysis, the initial univariate threshold was set at a moderate t-value of 3 [41, 42]. Brain networks were visualized using BrainNet Viewer [43]. Further analyses, such as permutation testing of between-group differences of adjacency matrices, were completed using scripts written in-house.

**Results**

**Cognitive-behavioural assessment**

Cognitive-behavioural assessment measures for PTSD and Control soldiers are shown in Table 1. Consistent with their diagnosis, soldiers with PTSD showed increased incidence of attentional problems, anxiety, and depressive symptoms (t-tests, all p < 0.001). The soldiers with PTSD also had a slightly lower IQ; it has been shown that IQ might be a predictor of susceptibility to PTSD [10], and is certainly associated with the presence of PTSD (i.e., performance is impacted by PTSD), as are other psychiatric disturbances.

**Task performance**

Figure 1, bottom left, highlights the behavioural results from the immediate recognition aspect of the working memory task; performance was comparable between the groups (measured as a function of reaction time and task accuracy), with no significant difference observed in either the RT or accuracy metrics (t-test, p > 0.05). In the delayed recognition task (Figure 1, bottom right), a mixed-effects ANOVA was used to compare within (stimulus type – war vs. neutral) and between-subjects (group - PTSD vs. control) factors. There was no significant effect on reaction time of stimulus type, F(1, 46) = 1.24, p = 0.271, of group, F(1, 46) = 0.25, p = 0.619, or any interaction effect, F(1, 46) = 0.86, p = 0.373. An ANOVA on accuracy revealed a non-significant effect of stimulus type, F(1, 46) = 0.81, p = 0.373, of group, F(1, 46) = 1.81, p = 0.185; however, there was a significant condition x group interaction, F(1, 46) = 11.11, p = 0.002. Post-hoc tests contrasting between-group accuracy for individual condition levels (stimulus type, either by war or neutral pictures) revealed a non-significant difference between the PTSD group and control soldiers for war-related stimuli (black bars; t(46) = 0.28, p = 0.784). For the neutral stimuli, however, the PTSD group were significantly less accurate compared to controls (grey bars; (46) = 2.376, p_{corr} = 0.022).
MEG connectivity: working memory

Figure 2 shows group-mean, whole-brain connectivity strength time-series in the alpha band during encoding – the first presentation of the stimuli that were repeated (i.e., encoding) – (top left panel) and recognition (top right panel) trials in the working memory 1-back task. Time-series plots in the other 4 frequency bands showed minor differences between the groups in this task (see Figure S2 of Supplementary materials); these were submitted to an exploratory NBS analysis, but no significant differences were found.

The PTSD group (blue trace) displayed task-dependent increases in the mean alpha-band node strength (the sum of the connection weights between that node and the rest of the network) compared to control soldiers (green trace; difference between the groups in red) in both the encoding and recognition aspects of the task. Both groups appear to peak in connectivity at approximately 100–200 ms post-stimulus presentation; therefore, group differences in connectivity were examined around this time window to capture the temporal manifestation and decline in connectivity changes as a result of memory processing. In particular, we wanted to capture increases in connectivity towards the peak response (50–150 ms), and decreases moving on from the peak (150–250 ms).

Mean connectivity adjacency matrices were generated for two non-overlapping windows defining the 50–150 and 150–250 ms time points for each subject, concatenated into 3-dimensional matrices separated by group (PTSD and control), and then submitted to an independent NBS analysis. NBS comparisons revealed elevated, large-scale alpha synchronisation in frontal and temporal regions for both time windows in the encoding aspect of the task (NBS: Extent method, \( t > 3, p_{\text{corr}} < 0.05 \)). No significant difference was found between the groups in recognition trials for the 50–150 ms windows, but significant connectivity differences were found in the 150–250 ms time window. Similar to the encoding aspects of the task, these network differences in connectivity in the 150–250 ms window appeared prominently between temporal and frontal seed regions for correct recognition (see Table S2 & S3 in Supplementary materials).

Differences in whole-brain network interactions were then assessed by defining lobe-lobe communication using regional-mean adjacency matrices (Figure 3). These were obtained by averaging PLI values across all inter-regional connections for a given comparison (across all connections within the right frontal cortex, or across all connections between right temporal and left parietal seed locations, for

![Figure 2: Group-mean, whole-brain connectivity strength in the alpha band during an average encoding and recognition trial (-0.2 to 0.8 seconds, stimulus appears at 0 time point; ± 1 standard error bars). The PTSD group (blue trace) show large increases in alpha band connectivity strength across the network compared to control soldiers (green trace; difference between the groups in red) in both the encoding (top left panel) and recognition (top right panel) trials. Group contrasts in connectivity were constructed for two non-overlapping windows at the 50-150 and 150-250 ms time points (denoted by shaded area). NBS comparisons revealed large-scale elevated alpha synchronisation in frontal and temporal regions for both time windows in the encoding trials. No significant difference was found between the groups in recognition trials for the 50-150 ms window, but significant connectivity differences were found in the 150-250 ms time window (NBS: Extent method, \( t > 3, p < 0.05 \); node radius is scaled by degree difference, or how many significant connections link a node to the network). Labels nodes are significant regions with degree > 4.

![Figure 3: Adjacency matrices depicting between-groups differences (PTSD minus control group) in lobe-lobe interactions by hemisphere, for the encoding (left panels) and recognition trials (right panels) in the 50-150 ms (top panels) and 150-250 ms (bottom panels) time windows (dark blue elements indicate \( p > 0.05 \), warm coloured elements indicate significant differences from permutation testing \( p < 0.05 \)). For neutral encoding, PTSD soldiers show elevated lobe-lobe interactions in the 50-150 and 150-250 ms time windows compared to controls, and these elevated dynamics are especially prominent for intra-hemispheric interactions in the left hemisphere (top left quadrant). F: frontal, T: temporal, S: sub-cortical, P: parietal, O: occipital.](image-url)
example). Here, between-groups alterations in connectivity (PTSD minus control group) for lobe-lobes interactions by hemisphere were examined for encoding and recognition for the baseline-corrected 50-150 ms and 150-250 ms windows. PTSD soldiers showed elevated lobe-lobes interactions for the encoding aspect of the task in the 50-150 ms and 150-250 ms time windows compared to controls, which were especially prominent in the left hemisphere (top left quadrant of Figure 3; significant differences after permutation testing, \( p_{\text{corr}} < 0.05 \)). These differences were less pronounced in the 150-250 ms window. In the recognition aspects of working memory, PTSD soldiers showed increased connectivity that was especially apparent in the 150-250 ms window, in bilateral fronto-temporal networks, with a bias for right intra-hemispheric fronto-temporal interactions (see Table S4 in Supplementary materials).

MEG connectivity: delayed recognition

As with the 1-back task, the time-series plots showed significant differences in the alpha band, and little differential connectivity in the other 4 frequency bands (see Figure S3 in Supplementary materials). Figure 4 shows group-mean, whole-brain connectivity strength time-series in the alpha band for war-related (top left panel) and neutral (top right panel) trials in the delayed recognition task. Increased alpha band connectivity strength was observed in the PTSD group compared to control soldiers in response to both types of stimuli (top right panel). Similar to the working memory task, two non-overlapping time-windows were chosen to explore group differences in connectivity around the peak response, the 50-150 ms and 150-250 ms time-windows.

NBS comparisons revealed significantly increased alpha synchronisation for both war-related and neutral trials in the PTSD group in the 50-150 ms time window (NBS: Extent method, \( t > 3, p_{\text{corr}} < 0.05 \); no significant differences in connectivity patterns were observed in the 150-250 ms window. For war-related stimuli, frontal and temporal regions appeared hyper-synchronised and significantly over-connected (high-degree) in frontal and temporal regions. Strong connectivity was also seen in nodes in left occipital cortex, consistent with the saliency of the visual imagery (see Table S5 in Supplementary materials).

Similar patterns of hyper-connectivity were noted in the neutral trials for the PTSD group compared to controls, although the spatial extent of network differences appeared diminished compared to the war-related stimuli; however, there were still a number of high-degree nodes present within this network (see Table S6 in Supplementary materials).

Adjacency matrices depicting between-group differences (PTSD minus control group) in lobe-lobes interactions by hemisphere in the 50-150 ms window are shown in Figure 4, bottom panels (significant differences after permutation testing, \( p_{\text{corr}} < 0.05 \)). War-related stimuli induced elevated interactions within the right hemisphere for the PTSD group, with fronto-temporal, temporo-subcortical and within-subcortical sources exhibiting hyper-connectivity; this pattern was absent for neutral trials. This suggests that while large-scale connectivity during correct recognition of war-related and neutral stimuli appear similar initially, when connectivity is examined at the regional level, it is quantitatively different when probing interactions at the regional level (when we compare the adjacency matrices in Figure 4).

To examine whether individual variability in performance for neutral trials on the delayed recognition task was related to alpha synchrony, we calculated correlations of connectivity during the 50-150 ms time window versus performance. Correlations of mean, whole-brain connectivity versus accuracy analyses revealed significant correlations for both the PTSD (\( r = 0.49, p = 0.023 \)) and control groups (\( r = 0.43, p = 0.036 \)).
Discussion

Summary

Using working memory and delayed recognition paradigms, we explored memory-related connectivity alterations in soldiers with and without PTSD. Soldiers with PTSD showed comparable working memory performance on a simple 1-back encoding and recognition task compared to a group of combat-exposed control soldiers. Performance was equivalent on a delayed recognition task for war-related images, but the PTSD soldiers exhibited compromised accuracy for recognition of neutral images. In terms of the functional connectivity, both of the tasks revealed elevated, task-dependent alpha synchronisation in the PTSD group around the 50-250 ms time window, particularly within and between fronto-temporal sources, as well as limbic regions, including the hippocampi and amygdalae. Additionally, a decrease in connectivity below baseline levels of node strength for alpha was observed in the delayed recognition task for neutral stimuli in the PTSD group.

A particularly interesting finding was that war-related stimuli in the delayed recognition task recruited additional inter-area interactions in the PTSD group in right fronto-temporal connections compared to neutral images, which, along with the behavioural data, suggest an interaction between memory and emotional processing systems mediated by abnormal alpha synchronisation. At the behavioural and neurophysiological levels, the type of repeated image affected recognition differentially between PTSD soldiers and the trauma-resilient control group.

These results indicate that the combat-exposed PTSD group exhibit: 1) alpha hyper synchronisation that underlies several aspects of memory processing, 2) intact working memory performance but compromised delayed recognition for neutral stimuli, 3) recognition of war-related images is associated with increased alpha interactions compared to neutral images, principally within and between right fronto-temporal regions, as well as limbic structures, and 4) the magnitude of early whole-brain alpha connectivity during recognition of neutral images was associated with performance accuracy.

Task performance

Our results demonstrate that soldiers with PTSD were similar in terms of performance to control soldiers in the working memory 1-back task, indexed by reaction time and accuracy. In contrast to our results, it has been reported that the distracting thoughts often prevalent in PTSD, such as trauma-related intrusive memories, hyperarousal/hypervigilance, and impaired and biased attentional control, result in decreased working memory performance [21, 22, 44]. Consistent with Kleim et al. [19], we speculate that the relatively unimpaired performance of our PTSD group is due to the low cognitive demands required for efficient task performance; the 1-back is easy and both groups were operating close to ceiling. With increased attentional and memory load (such as in a 2-back condition), we would predict significantly impaired performance in the PTSD group.

In the delayed recognition task, the PTSD group performed equivalently at discriminating emotionally-salient, war-related stimuli, but were less accurate in the identification of neutral stimuli. We suggest that this is the result of biased attentional allocation, preferentially directed towards trauma-related stimuli. This has been hypothesized to be due to a hyperactive emotional processing network [18, 20, 21], including the amygdala and ventrolateral and medial prefrontal cortex, and a hypoactive executive control network, including the dorsolateral prefrontal and parietal cortices [18].

MEG connectivity: working memory

In Experiment 1, the working memory paradigm, we observed robust and elevated, large-scale alpha phase synchrony in the PTSD group, for encoding (from 50-250 ms) and immediate recognition (from 150-250 ms) aspects of the paradigm. Alpha synchrony has been heavily implicated in working memory [28, 45-47], with the hypothesised role of this low-frequency rhythmicity purported to be the inter-area coordination of task-relevant regions to support efficient WM, a process that requires the transient loading, maintenance, and manipulation of pertinent information [9, 10, 27, 48].

It has been shown that the magnitude and the synchrony of alpha oscillations scale with memory load [28, 49], which, given the comparable behavioural performance of the PTSD group in this particular task, suggests elevated alpha acts as a compensatory mechanism in memory processing in PTSD. Previous findings of decreased alpha oscillations in PTSD would appear to contradict these findings [50], but these results were for resting-state voxel wise spectral power, and not inter-area synchronisation examined here. The relation between alterations in evoked responses, source-level spectral power and inter-regional synchronisation would be interesting avenues for future research.

The alpha hyper-connectivity in the PTSD group was observed across a number of regions for encoding and recognition, although seed regions in frontal, temporal and limbic structures were especially synchronised in the left hemisphere for encoding compared to the control group, and right fronto-temporal for recognition. Differential activation patterns in fMRI have been observed for WM paradigms in PTSD, with the amygdala and prefrontal cortices shown to be atypically activated in the disorder [18, 21, 22]. For this particular frequency band and connectivity metric, we found significant increases in PTSD. Phase synchrony is thought to play a functionally-distinct role in mediating communication within neuronal networks compared with amplitude correlation approaches in fMRI studies (for a comprehensive review, see [51]). Previous literature has elucidated the critical role of large-scale alpha synchrony in normal memory function, and the elevated interactions that we found in our PTSD group may be a compensatory mechanism that served to facilitate efficient memory processing.

MEG connectivity: delayed recognition

In the delayed recognition task correctly identified repeated stimuli induced elevated alpha phase synchrony from 50-150 ms in the combat-related PTSD group compared to a combat-exposed, resilient control group, for both war-related and neutral images. Generally, this suggests that delayed
recognition of previously viewed stimuli is distinguished by atypical fronto-temporal interactions in PTSD. Specifically, we found that the war-related stimuli were associated with increased interactions within and between sources in the right hemisphere, fronto-temporal cortex and deep-grey matter regions, as might be predicted from fMRI studies on emotional, episodic memory [15], as well as hyper connected seeds in left occipital cortex. Therefore, system-wide network interactions were quantitatively different with the introduction of an emotionally-relevant component to the stimuli, although both aspects of the task were associated with elevated levels of alpha phase synchrony.

Connectivity in the 50–150 ms time window for alpha strength versus performance positively correlated with individual differences within the groups. Therefore, this suggests that the early alpha synchronisation is critical for performance accuracy within the neutral recognition memory task.

Importantly, we found that correct recognition of emotionally-salient war-related images was associated with increased synchronisation between areas purported to be involved in the emotional memory of episodic events in PTSD, with fMRI studies pointing to the involvement of the amygdala [52,53] and hippocampi [20,54–56], consistent with other studies proposing a critical role for temporal [33,34,56] and frontal regions [52,58,59]. However, these papers report apparently conflicting results, with some finding increased activity, and others decreased activity. These contradictions are likely due in part to the various neuroimaging approaches, as it is not known yet exactly how fMRI activation relates to neurophysiological measures of phase synchronisation, and the functionally-mechanistic interplay between the two is a matter of debate [50]. As well, some of these differences may be due to the use of dissimilar tasks, tapping distinct aspects of memory, which may also account for divergent results.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first MEG study of neurophysiological connectivity in PTSD related to memory function. In these analyses we demonstrate that inter-regional alpha-band phase synchronisation, which plays an important role in memory, was elevated differentially in encoding and recognition components of memory function in PTSD during a simple n-back task. During delayed recognition of war-related stimuli we found that fronto-temporal and deep-grey connections in the right hemisphere were recruited to a greater extent than for the recognition of neutral images in PTSD, suggesting an interaction between emotional and memory systems, mediated by elevated alpha synchrony. These results indicate that alpha synchrony can help explain some of the defining characteristics of PTSD, particularly the biasing of attention towards emotionally-salient stimuli which may be a mechanism mediating the phenomenology of re-experiencing traumatic events often seen in the disorder.
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