Improved Functionality of Foamed WPI Solutions by Ultrasound Application
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Abstract

The objective of this work was to determine the effect of ultrasound on the stability of foams of whey protein isolate. It was used an ultrasonic processor Vibra Cell Sonics, model VCX at a frequency of 20 kHz and an amplitude of 20%. For this study, two pHs and two concentrations of whey proteins were made to analyze the foaming properties by conductometric and optical methods. Measurements of the variations of surface pressure (π) with the molecular area (A) of films were also analyzed at the air-water interface with an automated KSV Langmuir type. The foam stability parameters of whey proteins were worse when ultrasound were applied at pH 7, whereas were improved or unchanged at pH 3. In other hand, bubbles images analysis showed also concentration effect through evident viscosity increase at the high concentration used.
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Introduction

Whey protein isolate, recognized as a valuable ingredient for food applications, can be used, at same time with a specific function, such as foam promoting and/or stabilizing agent. It is well known that they are a significant source of functional protein ingredients for many traditional and novel food products [1]. The main proteins in whey are β-lactoglobulin (β-lg), α-lactalbumin (α-lac) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) and they account for 70% of total whey proteins [2]. These proteins are responsible for the functional properties of whey proteins, such as solubility in water, viscosity, gelation, emulsification, foaming, color, flavor and texture enhancement and offer numerous nutritional advantages to formulated products [3].

Due to the increasing consumer demand for high quality food, new safe and effective methods of food processing and preservation are being developed. Sound waves are generally considered safe, non-toxic, and environmentally friendly - this gives the use of ultrasound a major advantage over other techniques [4]. Ultrasound can be applied to impart a specific molecular alteration through energy provided. For the food industry, this new technology could be of significant interest, as it allows "green" chemistry to be conducted, i.e. conducting chemical reactions using environmentally benign solvents and reactants [5].

The effect of high ultrasound intensity on proteins is related to cavitation, heating, dynamic agitation, shear stresses, and turbulence [6]. It may cause physical changes on proteins producing aggregates through non-covalent bonds.
by cyclic generation and collapse of cavities depending of structural and protein state aggregation [7].

In the present work, 2 and 6\% wt/wt of whey protein isolate was prepared at pH 7 and pH 3, (concentrations and pHs used in food industrial preparations). Ultrasound was applied on solutions proteins in order to analyze the foaming stability effect, relating with interfacial properties at equilibrium conditions and the bubble size change during the destabilization process.

Material and Methods

Whey protein isolate solutions preparation and Zeta potential measurement

Whey protein isolate (WPI) was provided by Milkaut, Argentina. Two different concentrations were made in distilled water: 2 and 6\% wt/wt and adjusted further to pH 7 with 1N NaOH or pH 3 with 1 M HCl respectively. After, they were maintained at cool temperature overnight. These final solutions using 10 ml each time were treated by high intensity ultrasound (HIUS).

Zeta potential was measured for each pH solution of WPI samples by dynamic light scattering (Zetasizer Nano, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, U.K.), using 1 ml of the solution samples.

High-intensity ultrasound (HIUS) treatment

Solutions of WPI were sonicated for 20 min using an ultrasonic processor Vibra Cell Sonics, model VCX 750 (maximum net power output: 750 W) at a frequency of 20 kHz.

Time of sonication was selected conforming previous studies at different times [7] and was chosen the time where more pronounced was the HIUS effect on foaming properties.

The determined dissipated acoustic power in the liquid was 4.27 ± 0.71 W [8] and an amplitude of 20\% without any booster. A 13 mm high grade titanium alloy probe threaded to a 3 mm tapered microtip was used to treat 10 ml of each solution. Samples contained into glass test tubes were, in turn, immersed into a glycerine-jacketed circulating constant temperature cooling bath at 0.5 °C to dissipate most of the heat produced during sonication.

Solubility

Previously, samples of WPI were prepared at different pHs and concentrations, 2, 4, 6 and 10\% wt/wt; ultrasound treated and compared with equal untreated concentration protein. As solubility after treatment resulted independent of concentration and pH used, the procedure of [9], was used. Samples prepared at 10\% w/w were first HIUS-treated and then diluted at 2\% w/w. Control solutions were directly prepared at 2\% w/w. Protein dispersions were centrifuged at 12,857 x g for 30 min at room temperature. The supernatant containing the total soluble fraction was lyopholized for 48 h in a Stokes freeze-dryer (Barber-Colman, Philadelphia PA 19120, USA), operating at a condenser plate temperature of 40 °C and a chamber pressure of less than 100 mm Hg. Then, samples were weighted and solubility was expressed as:

\[
S\% = \frac{\text{total soluble solids (g)}}{\text{total solids (g)}} \times 100 \quad \ldots (1)
\]

Foam formation and stability

The foaming properties of protein solutions were characterized through their foam stability, measured in a commercial instrument, (Foamscan IT Concept, Longessaigne, France) as described elsewhere [10].

To characterize the obtained foam, the relative foam conductance was analyzed (Cf%) where is a measure of foam density and was determined by Eq. (2).

\[
Cf = \frac{C_{\text{foam}}(f)}{C_{\text{liq}}(f)} \times 100 \quad \ldots \ldots (2)
\]

Where \(C_{\text{foam}}(f)\) and \(C_{\text{liq}}(f)\) are the final foam and liquid conductivity values, respectively.

The foam stability was determined from the volume of liquid drained from the foam over time [11, 12].

The half-life time to drain \((t_{\text{drain} \ 1/2})\), referring to the time needed to drain V/2 can be expressed by Eq. (3) corresponding to an empirical second-order equation.

\[
t_{\text{drain} \ 1/2} = \left(\kappa_2 \ V_0\right)^{-1} \quad \ldots \ldots (3)
\]

Where \(V_0\) is the liquid volume (ml) in the foam at time \(t = 0\) and \(\kappa_2\) is the second order drainage rate constant (min/ml\(^{-1}\)).

The foam stability was also determined by the time evolution of the foam conductivity [13] through the follows equation (Eq. (4)):

\[
\frac{C_t}{C_0} = A_1 \exp (-t/td) + A_2 \exp (-t/tdc) \quad \ldots \ldots (4)
\]

which indicates that more than one mechanism is operative in the foam breaking, where A1 and A2 are adjustable parameters and \(td\) and \(tdc\) are the relaxation times, which can be related to the kinetics of liquid drainage from the foam (including the gravitational drainage and marginal regeneration) and disproportionation and foam collapse, respectively [13].

The evolution of the bubble size change in the foam was also determined by a second CCD camera set as described elsewhere [10].

Surface film balance

Measurements of the variations of surface pressure (\(\pi\)) with the molecular area (A) of WPI were done at the air-water interface with an automated KSV Langmuir mini-trough (KSV Instruments Ltd., Helsinki, Finland). The surface pressure was measured with a Wilhelmy plate and an accuracy of ± 0.1 mN/m. The sub phase in the trough was Milli-Q water at corresponding pH of each measure and all the measurements were performed at constant temperature (20 ± 1 °C) by a thermostat [14]. As the spreading of proteins is a critical step in the study, it was used the Trurnit’s spreading method [15] and the technique for soluble proteins [14].

Statistical analysis

All the experiments were performed in duplicate or triplicate. The model goodness-of-fit was evaluated by the coefficient of determination \((R^2)\) and the analysis of variance by homogeneous groups (ANOVA) which indicates a significant difference at P < 0.05, using Statgraphics Plus 3.0. Software.
Results and Discussion

Zeta potential measurement

The results showed for 2% w/w at pH 7 and pH 3 WPI solutions a ζ potential of -18.4 ± 1 and 21.2 ± 1.2 mV respectively; and 6% w/w at pH 7 and pH 3 WPI solutions a ζ potential of -25.5 ± 1.2 and 28.2 ± 1.2 mV respectively. Similar values were obtained by Chutima et al. and Jambrak et al. [16, 17].

Effects of HIUS on stability parameters of foams

The characterization and stability of foams were studied by the relative foam conductivity (Cf%), the time of half drainage (t. drain ½), the relaxation time corresponding to the kinetics of liquid drainage from the foam (td) and the relaxation time corresponding to the kinetics of disproportionation and foam collapse (tdc).

Table 1 shows t. drain ½ and Cf% for 2 and 6% wt/wt of WPI, at pH 7 and pH 3 respectively. It was clearly observed a decrease of parameters at pH 7 at each concentration when HIUS was applied (Table 1A). However, the results were practically opposed at pH 3 in the same conditions. Apparently, the protein charge, is the main factor that governs the ultrasound effects on these parameters, due to principal difference between these proteins solutions, leading also to alter the molecular interfacial structure in different way. The results showed beneficial effects for the foams stability when HIUS were applied on WPI solutions at pH 3. In these conditions, it was observed that t. drain ½ increased (improvement of foam stability) at 2% wt/wt or keeps unaffected at 6% wt/wt, conforming significance analysis, maybe due to viscosity effects (Table 1B). The increment of bulk viscosity due to concentration, may retard all destabilization mechanisms; tending to delay the phase separation of the dispersed system [18] leading in this case to higher time needed to drain same liquid quantity.

In other hand, Cf% increased more than three times at 2% wt/wt after HIUS treatment at pH 3, indicating a positive increment of protein incorporated in the foam.

The Table 2 shows relaxation times corresponding to the kinetics of foam destabilization; td and tdc at pH 7 and pH 3 at 2 and 6% w/w of WPI.

Firstly, it is worth to denote that the increment of these parameters after treatment would indicate an improvement for foam destabilization [19].

In the Table 2, can be seen a decrease of td as a consequence of HIUS treatment for WPI at every concentration at pH 7 and 6% w/w of protein at pH 3, indicating an increase of destabilization parameters coming from kinetics of liquid drainage. Thus, these results could be indicated a favorable HIUS effects just for 2% w/w of protein at pH 3 in this conditions.

However, for tdc an increase of stability corresponding to disproportion and collapse from kinetics parameters were observed for both concentrations at pH 3 and 2% w/w of protein at pH 7. These results show the complexity of variables leading to increase or decrease the overall foam stability. Some authors [20] have been demonstrated that the foam stability increased with protein concentration in solution as can be seen in the current work. These results were also in agreement with the interfacial characteristics of the adsorbed films. At the higher protein concentrations in solution the foam stability was practically the same no matter what the protein were analyzed, in agreement with the interfacial characteristics of the adsorbed. These reference data confirm that for a particular protein the overall foam destabilization (t. drain ½) and the individual destabilization processes (drainage, disproportionation and coalescence) may be related to the interfacial characteristics (protein concentration at the interface, structure, topography, and interfacial shear and dilational characteristics) of the protein film adsorbed around the bubbles [10].

In addition, ultrasound is able to produce effects through physical, mechanical and chemical changes by the acoustic cavitation which can accelerate chemical reactions, increase diffusion rates, disperse aggregates, break down small particles and polymeric materials such as enzymes and destroy microorganisms [19]. Due to flexibility, hydrophobic/
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hydrophilic balance, charge modification of peptides after treatment, functional properties of proteins in foods are modified such as solubility, foaming capacity and stability between others properties [8, 21].

Effect of HIUS on bubbles images of foams

Figures 1 and 2 shows the images of air bubbles throughout aging of WPI foams: (a) control and (b) HIUS treated solutions at pH 7 and pH 3 respectively, at 2 and 6% wt/wt.

The images correspond to destabilization of foams, thus, they show the images from the end of bubbling process.

As the images were taken in a fixed time of 3.6 min, the number of images for every system was different depending on the stability throughout the total foam collapse. Therefore, as much images obtained, higher stability was found.

In many systems, when HIUS were applied, more liquid quantity is incorporated, promoting more stable foam with small and monomodal size bubble distribution, however, in the current work, less tendency of liquid incorporation was found due to molecular structure change after treatment. Some authors found similar tendency [8, 13, 17, 20]. It can be observed that HIUS effect on 2% WPI solution, depend on pH. At pH 7 it produced a very less liquid incorporation in the foam (Table 1A), which can be seen by the size of bubbles in the Figure 1. The bigger size and lower bubbles quantity denoted a dryer foam formation (Figure 1) with similar stability against collapse. Same tendency was found at 6% wt/wt, where clearly dryer foam was obtained after HIUS treatment, with heterogeneous appearance and bigger bubbles by comparing with untreated 6% wt/wt WPI solution at this pH. However, more number of images was taken denoting more stability index against collapse of foam at high protein concentration, attributed to viscosity increase effects. The observed decrease of solution incorporation to the foam after HIUS treatment, can be related as same way with the Cf% decrease, observed at both concentrations (Table 1A).

The Figure 2 shows HIUS effect for 2 and 6% wt/wt at pH 3. At this pH, can be seen that HIUS was not the responsible for liquid incorporation decrease (Cf% decrease, Table 1B). In this case, the Cf% increment for 2% w/w of protein after HIUS treatment conduce to lower bubble size with a notable polymodal size distribution and evident stability increase against collapse as images bubbles shown. In other hand, when 6% w/w of protein was studied, in spite of Cf% decrease (Table 1B), higher number of images were obtained indicating more stability of system against collapse.

![Figure 1](image1.jpg)

**Figure 1:** Light micrographs showing the development with time of air bubbles at the end of bubbling process for WPI at 2 and 6%w/w at pH 7, (A) without HIUS (B) HIUS treated.

![Figure 2](image2.jpg)

**Figure 2:** Light micrographs showing the development with time of air bubbles at the end of bubbling process for WPI at 2 and 6% w/w at pH 3, (A) without HIUS (B) HIUS treated.
Surface film balance
Structural characteristics of spread monolayers at the air-water interface

The structural characteristics of WPI spread monolayers depend on film ageing. The ageing effect is due to unfolding of the protein at the interface, which can be related with stability parameters of foams. A change in the monolayer structure through expansion or compression of their isotherms, would indicate more or less space occupied by spread protein.

The Figure 3 shows the variations of surface pressure ($\pi$) with the molecular area (A) at the air-water interface for WPI and HIUS treated samples at pH 7 and pH 3 respectively.

It can be observed the isotherm from HIUS treated WPI solution displaced on the $\pi$-A axis depending on the pH. There existed a shift of the $\pi$-A isotherm to lower values of area when HIUS were applied at pH 7. The displacement at left may be related with condensation of the peptides from WPI after treatment [8].

However, when HIUS were applied at pH 3 solution the resulting isotherm presented a similar behavior than the obtained from the untreated protein at same pH. Clearly, the pH has a complex effect on the spreading of whey protein isolate at equilibrium.

Conclusions

Solubility decreased from 67 to 62% ± 0.7 for all solutions after HIUS application in the current work. Although the ultrasound produced a decrease of solubility at both pHs, however, just at pH 7 this technique apparently had an effect on aggregation or condensation state of whey protein isolate at both concentrations used, 2 and 6% w/w, which determined a detrimental effect of their stability parameters (liquid incorporation, liquid drainage; $t_d$ and $t_d$c relaxation times) and macroscopic ones (bubbles size and distribution) at this pH.

Nevertheless, when HIUS was applied on solutions proteins at pH 3, even solubility decrease occurs, monolayer structure of proteins at liquid interface would not aggregate, promoting a better interfacial conformation which lead to the increment of stability parameters of foams and higher protein solution incorporation to the foam formed.

In other hand, from the point of view of the bubble images study, the results showed evident viscosity effects due to WPI higher concentration at both pH studied.
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